




[TDCC Form Similar to: Form PTO-1390]		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 60816
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371			U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.5) 10/070566
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB00/03500	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 11 September 2000	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 09 September 1999	
TITLE OF INVENTION MODIFIED PLANT VIRUSES			
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Mary Bendig; Tim Jones; Marian Longstaff; Koen Hellendoorn			
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:			
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.	
2.	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.	
3.	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).	
and			
4.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.	
5.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))	
	a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
	b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
	c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	is not required, as the application was filed in the United States receiving Office (RO/US).
6.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).	
7.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))	
	a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
	b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
	c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
	d.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	have not been made and will not be made.
8.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).	
9.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	An oath or declaration of the inventor (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).	
10.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A translation of the Annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).	
Items 11. to 15. below concern other document(s) or information included:			
11.	<input type="checkbox"/>	An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.	
12.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A FIRST preliminary amendment.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.	
13.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A substitute specification.	
14.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.	
15.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other items or information: Transfer of Assignment	

-2-

U.S. APPLICATION NO (If known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.50) <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">10/070566</div>	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB00/03500	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 60816
---	---	-----------------------------------

17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: <div style="margin-left: 20px;"> Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO \$890.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) \$710.00 No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) \$740.00 Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445 (a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$1,040.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) \$100.00 </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"> ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT = </div> <div style="margin-top: 10px;"> Surcharge of \$ 130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)). + </div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 5px;"> <tr> <th style="width: 20%;">Claims</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Number Filed</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Number Extra</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Rate</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Claim</td> <td>22 - 20 =</td> <td>2</td> <td>X \$18.00</td> <td></td> <td>\$36.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>2 - 3 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$84.00</td> <td></td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">Multiple dependent claim(s) (if applicable)</td> <td>\$280.00</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">Processing fee of \$ 130.00 for furnishing the English Translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). +</td> <td></td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: right;">TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</td> <td></td> <td>\$926.00</td> </tr> </table> <div style="margin-top: 10px;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Amount to be refunded:</td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>charged:</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$</td> </tr> </table> </div>	Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate			Total Claim	22 - 20 =	2	X \$18.00		\$36.00	Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$84.00		\$0.00	Multiple dependent claim(s) (if applicable)				\$280.00	\$0.00	Processing fee of \$ 130.00 for furnishing the English Translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). +					\$0.00	TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =					\$926.00	Amount to be refunded:	\$	charged:	\$	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">CALCULATIONS</td> <td style="width: 50%;">PTO USE ONLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="height: 100px;"></td> </tr> </table>	CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY		
Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate																																										
Total Claim	22 - 20 =	2	X \$18.00		\$36.00																																								
Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$84.00		\$0.00																																								
Multiple dependent claim(s) (if applicable)				\$280.00	\$0.00																																								
Processing fee of \$ 130.00 for furnishing the English Translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). +					\$0.00																																								
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =					\$926.00																																								
Amount to be refunded:	\$																																												
charged:	\$																																												
CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY																																												

a. <input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees is enclosed. b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. 04-1512 in the amount of \$926.00 to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1512. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.	<p>Note: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 40%; vertical-align: top;"> SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Graham E. Taylor The Dow Chemical Company Patent Department P.O. Box 1967 Midland, Michigan 48641-1967 United States of America Phone: </td> <td style="width: 60%; vertical-align: top;"> Signature:  Karen L. Kimble, Registration No. 26,995 Date: <u>March 7, 2002</u> </td> </tr> </table>	SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Graham E. Taylor The Dow Chemical Company Patent Department P.O. Box 1967 Midland, Michigan 48641-1967 United States of America Phone:	Signature:  Karen L. Kimble, Registration No. 26,995 Date: <u>March 7, 2002</u>
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Graham E. Taylor The Dow Chemical Company Patent Department P.O. Box 1967 Midland, Michigan 48641-1967 United States of America Phone:	Signature:  Karen L. Kimble, Registration No. 26,995 Date: <u>March 7, 2002</u>		

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant (s): Mary Bendig, Tim Jones, Marian Longstaff and Koen Hellendoorn

Attorney Docket No.: 60816

Filed: Concurrently Herewith

For: MODIFIED PLANT VIRUSES

"Express Mail" mailing label number EL889202025US
Date of Deposit March 7, 2002

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Applicants hereby request to amend the claims 5, 8, 11, 15, 16, 21 and 22. The foregoing amendments are submitted in the format directed by 37 C.F.R. §1.121 in both a marked up version (on a separate sheet, amended claims only) and in the intended final version. Applicants request that these claims amendments be entered prior to computing the fee. Accordingly, please amend the following claims:

In the Claims

5. (Amended) A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1, in which the tumour-associated mucin is PEM.
8. (Amended) A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1, in which the plant virus is a comovirus.
11. (Amended) A method for producing a chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1, which comprises introducing a nucleotide sequence coding for the tumour-associated mucin peptide to modify the plant viral nucleic acid which codes for the coat protein; infecting plants, plant tissue, plant cells, or protoplasts with the modified viral nucleic acid; and harvesting chimaeric virus particles.

15. (Amended) A method according to Claim 11, in which modified virus produced, or RNA extracted therefrom, is passaged in plants to produce further yields of modified virus.
16. (Amended) A vaccine comprising chimaeric virus particles according to Claim 1 as an immunogenic component thereof.
21. (Amended) A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1 for use as a vaccine.
22. (Amended) The use of a chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1 in the manufacture of a vaccine for the treatment and/or prevention of tumors and/or cancer.

Applicants respectfully request the entry of these amendments as this application is concurrently going national phase from PCT/GB00/03500, (separate papers provided herewith). Applicants do not believe any further fees are required for these amendments. If we are in error and additional fees are required, please charge our Deposit Account No. 04-1512.

Respectfully submitted,



Karen L. Kimble
Registration No. 26,995
Phone: (989) 636-1687

P. O. Box 1967
Midland, MI 48641-1967

Version with markings to show changes made.

5. (Amended) A chimaeric virus particle according to ~~any of~~ Claims 1 ~~to 4~~, in which the tumour-associated mucin is PEM.
8. (Amended) A chimaeric virus particle according to ~~any of the preceding claims~~ Claim 1, in which the plant virus is a comovirus.
11. (Amended) A method for producing a chimaeric virus particle according to ~~any of~~ Claims 1 ~~to 10~~, which comprises introducing a nucleotide sequence coding for the tumour-associated mucin peptide to modify the plant viral nucleic acid which codes for the coat protein; infecting plants, plant tissue, plant cells, or protoplasts with the modified viral nucleic acid; and harvesting chimaeric virus particles.
15. (Amended) A method according to ~~any of~~ Claims 11 ~~to 14~~, in which modified virus produced, or RNA extracted therefrom, is passaged in plants to produce further yields of modified virus.
16. (Amended) A vaccine comprising chimaeric virus particles according to ~~any of~~ Claims 1 ~~to 10~~ as an immunogenic component thereof.
21. (Amended) A chimaeric virus particle according to ~~any of~~ Claims 1 ~~to 10~~ for use as a vaccine.
22. (Amended) The use of a chimaeric virus particle according to ~~any of~~ Claims 1 ~~to 10~~ in the manufacture of a vaccine for the treatment and/or prevention of tumors and/or cancer.

26/88-15

WO 01/18199

CHIMAERIC PLANT VIRUSES WITH MUCIN PEPTIDES

All documents cited herein are incorporated by reference in their entirety.

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention is in the field of vaccination, more particularly cancer vaccines. It relates to antigenic materials peptides which are rendered more antigenic by being presented on carrier virus particles. The peptides are able to induce antibodies to tumour antigens.

BACKGROUND ART

Based on the success of vaccines for the treatment and prevention of infectious diseases, there have been attempts over the years to develop cancer vaccines. Early attempts at cancer vaccines were based on immunising the cancer patient with killed tumour cells together with adjuvant.

Further development of cancer vaccines has focused on directing the immune response to identified tumour antigens present on the surface of tumour cells. The advent of monoclonal antibodies enabled the identification of so-called "tumour-specific" antigens. Typically, however, tumour antigens not tumour-specific, but are over-expressed on tumour cells and rarely expressed, or under-expressed, on normal cells.

A well-characterised tumour antigen is "polymorphic epithelial cell mucin" (PEM), the product of the MUC1 gene. PEM is over-expressed and aberrantly glycosylated in many carcinomas (breast, pancreas, ovary, lung, urinary bladder, prostate, and endometrium) thus resulting in cancer cells expressing antigenically-distinct PEM molecules on their surface. Both humoral and cellular immune responses specific to PEM have been detected in cancer patients. These properties make PEM particularly well-suited to act as a target molecule for cancer immunotherapy. In addition, the over-expression of mucin on tumour cells suggests that the mucin may be important for the maintenance of the tumour. Such over-expression of mucin would alleviate potential problems of tumour cells escaping immunotherapy by down-regulating the MUC1 gene. US patents 4,963,484 & US 5,053,489 and international patent application WO88/05054 describe the purification of human mucin, the isolation of monoclonal antibodies thereto, the cloning of cDNAs coding for human mucin, and the use of these for diagnostic and therapeutic applications.

Zhang *et al.* [*Cancer. Res.* (1996) 56:3315-3319] disclose the preparation of several MUC1 peptide vaccines and compares their immunogenicity. Vaccines comprising adjuvant and a

MUC1 peptide covalently linked to the protein carrier KLH achieved greatest immunogenicity. The authors concluded that MUC 1 peptides of 30 or more amino acids are better immunogens than MUC 1 peptides of 20 amino acids.

The MUC1 gene product is a high molecular weight (MW>200kDa) transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on the apical surface of many simple epithelial cells. It has a relatively large extracellular domain varying from 1000 to 2200 amino acids and a cytoplasmic tail of 69 amino acids. The extracellular domain consists mainly of tandem repeats of the 20 amino acid sequence PDTRPAPGSTAPPAHGVTSa [for a review, see Apostolopoulos & McKenzie (1994) *Crit. Rev. Immunol.*, 14: 293-309]. Variability in the number of repeats accounts for the variability in the size of the extracellular domain. Each repeat contains five potential O-linked glycosylation sites and two or possibly three of these sites are believed to be utilised.

The aberrant glycosylation of PEM observed in a variety of cancers is due to alterations in the activity of glycosyltransferases with some transferases being inactive and the activity of others being increased. Abnormal glycosylation of PEM in cancer cells leads to three types of cancer-associated epitopes: (a) peptides, from the core protein, that are exposed following under-glycosylation; (b) "new" carbohydrates resulting from deficient and aberrant glycosylation; and (c) "new" glycopeptides resulting from deficient and aberrant glycosylation.

Many different approaches have been considered as potential cancer vaccines aimed at inducing a beneficial immune response directed towards PEM-expressing tumour cells. These include: cells expressing mucins: mucin purified from cancer cells; mucin core protein produced as a recombinant protein; different mucin glycoproteins produced by transfected cells; peptide or glycopeptide based on the tandem repeat; recombinant animal viruses expressing portions of the MUC1 cDNA gene product; synthetic carbohydrates based on short aberrant chains present on mucins on cancer cells; naked MUC1 DNA; and anti-MUC1 antibodies.

Of these, anti-MUC1 antibodies, synthetic carbohydrates, recombinant animal viruses, and peptides based on the tandem repeat have been developed sufficiently to reach evaluation in clinical trials. However, one significant problem with all of the above approaches is that a poor and/or inadequate immune response towards mucin-expressing tumour cells is observed.

It is an object of the present invention to alleviate this problem by providing an effective means for presenting a peptide of a tumour-associated mucin.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a chimaeric virus particle (CVP) derived from a plant virus, having a coat protein with a beta-barrel structure, and modified by insertion of an immunogenically active peptide of a tumour-associated mucin at an immunogenically effective site in the coat protein.

These CVPs provide, *inter alia*, two main advantages over the above-identified prior art antigen-presenting means. Firstly, conventional live animal virus vectors (as carriers) can be dispensed with. Secondly, the need for separate mucin peptide synthesis and the need for time-consuming chemical-coupling thereof to a conventional carrier, such as keyhole limpet haemocyanin (KLH), can be avoided. In addition, the CVPs have been shown to induce good mucosal immunity (*e.g.* when administered intranasally), they are superior to the 'standard' of presentation by conjugation to KLH [Zhang *et al.*, *supra*], they do not require the addition of exogenous adjuvants to induce a strong immune response, and show good Th1 bias.

It has been found that surprisingly superior immunogenicity to a mucin antigen can be obtained by presenting a mucin peptide in a composite particle derived from certain plant viruses. The plant viruses especially useful for the purposes of the present invention are those having a coat protein with a β -barrel structure containing loops between β -sheets. Composite virus particles of this general type and the methods of constructing such particles are disclosed in international patent applications WO92/18618 and WO96/02649.

An advantage of the use of viruses which have a β -barrel structure is that the loops between the individual strands of β -sheet provide convenient sites for the insertion of mucin peptides. Modification of one or more loops is a preferred strategy for the expression of mucin peptides in accordance with the present invention. These viruses include all members of the following virus families: *Caulimoviridae*, *Bromoviridae*, *Comoviridae*, *Geminiviridae*, *Reoviridae*, *Partitiviridae*, *Sequiviridae*, *Tombusviridae*, and the following virus genera: Luteovirus, Marafivirus, Sobemovirus, Tymovirus, Enamovirus and Idaeovirus. Of the *Tombusviridae* family, the following genera are mentioned in particular: Dianthovirus, Machlomovirus and Necrovirus. An advantage of the *Comoviridae* and *Sequiviridae* is that their capsid contains sixty copies each of 3 different β -barrels which can be individually manipulated. All other virus families and genera listed above have similar 3-dimensional structures but with a single type of β -barrel. Viruses selected from the family *Comoviridae* (*e.g.* cowpea mosaic virus and bean pod mottle virus) are particularly preferred, with CPMV being the most preferred virus.

The invention can be applied to any plant virus having a coat protein with a β -barrel structure. In a preferred embodiment the three dimensional structure of a plant virus is examined in order to identify portions of a coat protein which are particularly exposed on the virus surface and which are therefore potentially optimum sites for insertion. In a further embodiment the amino acid sequence of the exposed portions of a coat protein is examined for amino acids which break α -helical structures because these are potentially optimum sites for insertion. Examples of suitable amino acids are proline and hydroxyproline, both of which whenever they occur in a polypeptide chain interrupt the α -helix and create a rigid kink or bend in the structure.

All plant viruses possessing icosahedral symmetry whose structures have been solved conform to the eight stranded β -barrel fold as exemplified by CPMV, and it is likely that this represents a common structure in all icosahedral viruses. All such viruses are suitable for use in this invention for the presentation of foreign peptide sequences in the loops between the β -strands.

To date, viruses from nine plant virus genera and three subgroup 2 ssRNA satellite viruses have had their tertiary and quaternary structures solved at high resolution. These are:

	Name	Acronym	Genus	Family
15	Southern bean mosaic virus	SBMV	<i>Sobemovirus</i>	not assigned
	Sesbania mosaic virus	SMV	<i>Sobemovirus</i>	not assigned
	tomato bushy stunt virus	TBSV	<i>Tombusvirus</i>	<i>Tombusviridae</i>
	turnip crinkle virus	TCV	<i>Carmovirus</i>	<i>Tombusviridae</i>
20	cowpea chlorotic mottle virus	CCMV	<i>Bromovirus</i>	<i>Bromoviridae</i>
	alfalfa mosaic virus	AMV	<i>Alfamovirus</i>	<i>Bromoviridae</i>
	bean pod mottle virus	BPMV	<i>Comovirus</i>	<i>Comoviridae</i>
	cowpea mosaic virus	CPMV	<i>Comovirus</i>	<i>Comoviridae</i>
	red clover mottle virus	RCMV	<i>Comovirus</i>	<i>Comoviridae</i>
25	tobacco ringspot virus	TRSV	<i>Nepovirus</i>	<i>Comoviridae</i>
	turnip yellow mosaic	TYMV	<i>Tymovirus</i>	not assigned
	tobacco necrosis virus	TNV	<i>Necrovirus</i>	<i>Tombusviridae</i>
	satellite tobacco necrosis virus		Subgroup 2	
	satellite panicum mosaic virus		Subgroup 2	
30	satellite tobacco mosaic virus		Subgroup 2	

The similarity of the secondary structural elements and their spatial organisation is illustrated in Figure 1. Any of the loops which lie between the β -strands can be used for insertion of foreign epitopes, but the insertions are made such that the additions are exposed on either the internal or external surface of the virus and such that assembly of the coat protein subunits and the infectivity of the virus are not abolished. The choice of a particular loop can be made using knowledge of the structure of individual coat protein subunits and their interactions with each other, as indicated by the crystal structure, such that any insertions are unlikely to interfere with virus assembly. The choice of precise insertion site can be made, initially, by inspection of the crystal structure, followed by *in vivo* experimentation to identify the optimum site.

The present invention is also applicable to those β -barrel containing plant viruses whose crystal structures have not yet been determined. Where significant sequence homology within the coat protein genes exists between one virus whose crystal structure is unknown and a second virus whose crystal structure has been determined, alignment of the primary structures will allow the locations of the loops between the β -strands to be inferred [Dolja & Koonin (1991) *J. Gen. Virol.* 72:1481-1486]. In addition, where a virus has only minimal coat protein sequence homology to those viruses whose crystal structure has been determined, primary structural alignments may be used in conjunction with appropriate secondary and tertiary structural prediction algorithms to allow determination of the location of potential insertion sites.

CPMV comprises two subunits, the small (S) and the large (L) coat proteins, of which there are 60 copies of each per virus particle. Mucin peptide sequences may be expressed on either the L or S proteins or on both coat proteins on the same virion. Thus, up to 120 copies of the mucin peptide sequence may be expressed on a single virus particle.

A 3.5Å electron density map of CPMV (see Figure 1 in WO92/18618) shows the clear structural relationship between the capsids of CPMV and the T=3 plant viruses, for example the bromoviruses (in particular CCMV) and the sobemoviruses (in particular SBMV). The capsids of these latter viruses are composed of 180 identical coat protein subunits, each consisting of a single β -barrel domain. These domains can occupy three different positions, namely A, B and C, within the virions (see Figure 1 in WO92/18618). The two coat proteins of CPMV were shown to consist of three distinct β -barrel domains, two being derived from the large capsid protein and one from the small capsid protein. Thus, in common with the T=3 viruses, each CPMV particle is made up of 180 β -barrel structures. The single domain from the small subunit occupies a position analogous to that of the A type subunits of CCMV and SBMV and other

viruses, whereas the N- and C-terminal domains of the large capsid protein occupy the positions of the C and B type subunits respectively (see Figure 1 in WO92/18618).

X-ray diffraction analysis²³ of crystals of CPMV and BPMV shows that their 3-D structures are very similar and are typical of the *Comoviridae* in general.

- 5 In the structures of CPMV and BPMV, each β -barrel consists principally of 8 strands of antiparallel β -sheet connected by loops of varying length. The connectivity and nomenclature of the strands is given in Figure 2 of WO92/18618. The flat β -sheets are named the B,C,D,E,F,G,H and I sheets, and the connecting loops are referred to as the β B- β C, β D- β E, β F- β G and β H- β I loops.
- 10 One difference between the *Comoviridae* and the animal *Picornaviridae* is that the protein subunits of *Comoviridae* lack the large insertions between the strands of the β -barrels found in *Picornaviridae*. The four loops (β B- β C, β D- β E, β F- β G and β H- β I – see Figure 3 in WO92/18618) between the β -sheets are suitable for expression of tumour-associated mucin peptide sequences.
- 15 The β B- β C loop in the small capsid protein is particularly preferred as the insertion site. This loop has an engineered *AatII* site and a unique *NheI* site at position 2708 of the M RNA-specific sequence where mucin peptide sequences may be inserted (see Figure 4 of WO92/18618). The insertion site immediately preceding Pro²³ in the β B- β C loop of the small capsid protein is most preferred.
- 20 To demonstrate the present invention, the plant virus CPMV in particular has been primarily chosen.

Various sites in the CPMV coat protein have been identified as suitable for insertion of the foreign peptide. The co-ordinates given below refer to the linear amino acid sequence of the CPMV coat protein (S or L subunit).

- 25 Any insertion site which does not lie between the N-terminus of a subunit and a β -strand, or between a β -strand and the C-terminus, is considered to lie between two β -strands. Such an insertion site may lie in a short loop at one of the axes of symmetry of the virus or in one of the much longer connecting strands which form the body of the protein subunits and which may contain additional secondary structure and form loops on the surface of the virus. In particular,
- 30 there are α -helices present in some of the connecting strands which form the body of the protein

subunits, and the co-ordinates given for some of the insertion sites may indicate that an α -helix is present between the insertion site and the preceding or proceeding β -strand. For example, the S protein C' and C'' β -strands represent a secondary structure formed in the loop between the β C and β D strands.

5 (i) External Surface Sites

S Subunit (A Domain) Insertion Sites

β B- β C: The residue between the β -strands are Thr 19 to Val 2, and the preferred insertion site is between amino-acids 22 and 23. Insertion sites either side of this are also suitable, notably between residues 21 and 22.

10 β C'- β C'': The residues between the β -strands are Val 42 to Asn 46.

β H- β I: This site is at the tip of the five-fold axis and the residues between the β -strands are Thr 152 to Gln 158.

β D- β E: Again, this site is at the tip of the five-fold axis and the residues between the β -strands are Ala 80 to Gln 90.

15 β E- β F: This site is not at the tip of the five-fold axis, but lies 'behind' and to one side of the β -strands. The residues between the β -strands are Arg 96 to Ala 106. Residues 98 to 102 are preferred.

L Subunit (B Domain) Insertion Sites

20 The B domain of the L subunit comprises amino acids 183-374 of the linear amino-acid sequence.

β B- β C: This site is in the equivalent location on the subunit to the standard S protein insertion site and is at the three-fold axis of the virus. The residues between the β -strands are Pro201 to Glu209.

25 β H- β I: Again this site is at the three-fold axis of the virus and the residues between the β -strands are His331-Asp341.

β C- α A (β C- β D): This site lies between the β C and β D strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α A)

and the insertion site is a surface exposed portion which lies between the β C strand and the α A helix. The surface exposed residues are Ala 223 to Ala 226.

5 β G- α D (β G- β H): This site lies between the β G and β H strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α D) and the insertion site is a surface portion which lies between the α D helix and β H strands which are surface exposed. The surface exposed residues are Pro 314 to Thr 317.

10 β E- α B (β E- β F): This site lies between the β E and β F strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α β) and the insertion site is a surface portion which lies between the β E strand and the α B helix. The surface exposed residues are Gly 269 to Phe 275.

L subunit (C Domain) Insertion Sites

The C Domain of the L Subunit comprises amino-acids 1-182 of the linear amino-acid sequence.

15 β E- α B (β E- β F): This site lies between the β E and β F strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α B) and the insertion site is a surface exposed portion which lies between the β E strand and the α B helix. The surface exposed residues are Gly 95 to Thr 102.

20 α D- β H (β G- β H): This site lies between the β G and β H strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α D) and the insertion site is a surface portion which lies between the α D helix and the β H strands. The surface exposed residues are Ser 142 and Arg 145.

25 β - α A (β C- β D): This site lies between the β C and β D strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α A) and the insertion site is a surface exposed portion which lies between the β C strand and the α A helix. The surface exposed residues, not part of any secondary structural element, are Gly 53 to Phe 56.

β B- β C: This site is an equivalent location on this domain to the S protein β B- β C (identified above) insertion site and is at the three-fold axis of the virus. The residues between the β -strands are Ser 33 to Leu 42.

S Subunit (A Domain) Insertion Sites

5 L Subunit (B Domain) Insertion Sites

C Domain β I-B Domain β B: This is the junction between the B and C domains of the L subunit. This linker sequence comprises residues Asn374 to Asp186. The insertion site is around Ala185, which is assigned to the B domain.

15 β G- α D (β G- β H): This site lies between the β G and β H strands. The protein chain loops out to form part of the body of the protein domain. Within this loop is an α -helix (termed α D) and the insertion site is an internal projecting loop which lies between the β G strands and the α D helix. The residues in this loop are Asn130 to Ser135.

The RNA or DNA encoding the mucin peptide may be inserted into the plant virus genome in a variety of configurations. For example, it may be inserted as an addition to the existing nucleic acid or as a substitution for part of the existing sequence, the choice being determined largely by the structure of the capsid protein and the ease with which additions or replacements can be made without interference with the capacity of the genetically-modified virus to assemble. Determination of the permissible and most appropriate size of addition or deletion for the purposes of this invention may be achieved in each particular case by experiment in the light of the present disclosure. The use of addition inserts appears to offer more flexibility than replacement inserts in some instances.

25 The mucin peptides which may be incorporated into plant viruses according to this invention may be of highly diverse types and are subject only to the limitation that the nature and size of the peptide and the site at which it is placed on or in the virus particle do not interfere with the capacity of the modified virus to assemble when cultured *in vitro* or *in vivo*. The peptide preferably contains 5 or more amino acids.

The following are preferred mucin peptides sequences for forming CVPs in accordance with the present invention. Optionally repeating sequences of the 20 amino acid sequence "PDTRPAPGSTAPPAHGV TSA" (SEQ. ID No.1) are preferred. An optionally repeating partial sequence of the above 20 amino acid sequence is particularly preferred. In this respect the peptide sequences "PDTRP" (SEQ. ID No.2) and "APDTR" (SEQ. ID No.3) are particularly preferred, as are the mimetic peptide sequences: "DAHWESWL" (SEQ. ID No.4) and "DLHWASWV" (SEQ. ID No.5).

The mucin peptide may be of the general formula "(aa)_xPDTRP(aa)_y", or "(aa)_xAPDTR(aa)_y" where aa is an amino acid residue, the same or different in each position, x is an integer from 0 to 1000, and y is an integer from 0 to 1000. Preferably x is an integer from 0 to 500, more preferably 0 to 100, most preferably 0 to 10, and y is an integer from 0 to 500, more preferably 0 to 100, most preferably 0 to 10.

It is most preferred that the sequence "PDTRP" or "APDTR" is located towards the middle of the mucin peptide sequence of interest (as for example in the MUC1(16) defined hereinafter). Alternatively, the peptide sequence "PDTRP" or "APDTR" may be located towards the beginning of the mucin peptide sequence of interest (as for example in the MUC1(23) defined hereinafter). The above sequences/partial sequences are based on the 20 amino acid tandem repeat sequence of the extracellular of PEM.

According to a second aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of producing a chimaeric virus particle which comprises introducing a nucleotide sequence coding for a tumour-associated mucin peptide to modify the plant viral nucleic acid which codes for the coat protein, infecting plants, plant tissue, plant cells, or protoplasts with the modified viral nucleic acid, and harvesting chimaeric virus particles. The introduced nucleotide sequence is preferably inserted in that part of the plant viral nucleic acid which codes for an exposed region of the coat protein.

This procedure is best carried out by direct manipulation of the DNA of the virus in the case of DNA viruses or by manipulation of the cDNA corresponding to the RNA of an RNA virus. In the case of an RNA virus, the modified cDNA or an RNA transcript thereof is prepared for inoculation of plant cells or preferably whole plants so as to achieve a multiplication stage prior to the harvesting of assembled particles of the modified virus. In the case of a DNA virus, the DNA itself is introduced into the plant. In this way, the mucin peptide is initially expressed as part of the capsid protein and is thereby produced as part of the whole virus particle.

In order to produce modified virus on a commercial scale, it is not necessary to prepare infective inoculant (DNA or RNA transcript) for each batch of virus production. Instead, an initial inoculant may be used to infect plants and the resulting modified virus may be passaged in the plants to produce whole virus or viral RNA as inoculant for subsequent batches.

5 Preferably the method is applied to an RNA plant virus, in which case the method comprises introducing a DNA coding for the tumour-associated mucin peptide into a cDNA corresponding to the RNA of the plant virus which codes for an exposed portion of its coat protein, inoculating plants, plant tissue, plant cells, or protoplasts with the thus modified cDNA or an RNA transcript thereof, if necessary together with any other DNA or RNA required for multiplication and assembly of whole virus particles in the plant material, and harvesting chimaeric virus
10 particles. More preferably, the modified cDNA is produced by introducing the DNA encoding the mucin peptide into a DNA fragment excised from the plant viral cDNA, and reinserting the modified excised fragment so as to constitute the plant viral cDNA in modified form.

According to a third aspect of the invention, there is provided a vaccine comprising CVPs, as
15 hereinbefore described, as an immunogenic component thereof. The vaccine may further comprise adjuvant, for example Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA), QuilA, QS-21, ISCOM matrix, algammulin, alum, or combinations thereof. QS-21 is preferred. Alternatively, adjuvant may be omitted from the vaccine. It is particularly surprising that the chimaeric virus particles of the present invention are strongly immunogenic in the absence of an adjuvant (*e.g.* see
20 Examples 7 & 8).

Vaccines according to the present invention are particularly suited for nasal administration. For comparison purposes, a chimaeric plant virus particle comprising alfalfa mosaic virus coat protein and a rabies virus peptide is described in Modelska *et al.* [*PNAS USA* (1998) 95:2481-85]. The chimeric plant virus coat protein assembled *in planta* into virus-like particles which (in
25 contrast to the CVPs of the present invention) were non-infectious to plants. Three doses of 50µg of the virus-like particles were required to obtain an immune response when administered to mice by injection in the absence of an adjuvant. In contrast, a strong immune response was achieved in accordance with the present invention by either subcutaneous or nasal administration to mice of two 100µg doses of CPMV-MUC1(16) in the absence of an adjuvant
30 (see Examples 7 & Example 8). The nasal vaccines of the invention may be adapted for intranasal administration, such as by nasal spray, nasal drops, gel or powder [Almeida & Alpar (1996) *J. Drug Targeting* 3:455-467].

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a simple line drawing of all the solved β -barrel containing virus structures showing the secondary structural elements which make up the coat protein domains.

Fig. 2 shows CPMV-specific and MUC1-60mer-specific IgG1/IgG2a values following immunisation with CVPs of the invention. **Fig. 2A** shows data using MUC1(16), **2B** shows data using MUC1(23), and **2C** shows control data using wild type CPMV. Titres are expressed as end-point titres obtained with sera from individual mice. Results are of a single experiment representative of a further two experiments.

Fig. 3 shows the extent of staining of tumour cells by serum from mice immunised with CVPs of the invention. Results are expressed as mean fluorescence intensity (MFI).

Fig. 4 compares the induction of MUC1-specific antibodies by CVPs with the MUC1(16) insert or by the MUC1 peptide conjugated to KLH. Results are expressed as mean end-point titres (standard deviations shown) obtained with sera from individual mice.

Fig. 5 shows antibody titres induced in six transgenic mice using CVPs of the invention (CVP1 to 6). Control samples were pooled.

Fig. 6 shows the effect of various adjuvants on IgG1/IgG2a titres. Titres are expressed as the mean end-point titre obtained with the sera from individual mice within each group. Standard deviations are shown for each value.

Fig. 7 shows serum IgG1/IgG2a (**7A**) and lavage IgA (**7B**) titres, comparing values with and without CT adjuvant in intranasal immunisation. **7C** shows results using ISCOMs as intranasal adjuvant. Titres for each mouse are expressed as end-point titres obtained from individual mice.

Fig. 8A shows the nucleotide and protein sequences of SBMV coat protein (starting at nucleotide 3955) spanning a potential insertion site. The bold nucleotides show base changes to introduce new restriction sites. **Fig. 8B** shows a series of sequences to be inserted between the restriction sites to insert the MUC1(16) epitope at various locations.

Fig. 9 shows a comparison of the β H- β L loop of three sobemoviruses. Conserved residues are highlighted in bold and the locations of the loops (=) and β -strands (#) are indicated.

Fig. 10 shows the same as **Fig. 8**, but for LTSV coat protein (starting at nucleotide 3954).

Fig. 11 shows a Lipman-Pearson alignment of the coat protein sequences of RCNMV and TBSV coat proteins.

Fig. 12 shows a Chou-Fasman β -region prediction plot for RCNMV coat protein residues 214-254, using an algorithm based upon the structures found in 64 proteins.

- 5 **Fig. 13** shows the application of the EMBL PHDsec algorithm program to part of the same RCNMV sequence shown in Fig. 12. "AA" shows the amino acid sequence; "PHD sec" indicates "profile network prediction Heidelberg" of secondary structure, with "H" indicating helix and "E" indicating extended (sheet); "Rel sec" indicates the reliability index of the prediction; "prH", "prE" and "prL" indicate the probability for assigning a helix, strand of loop;
- 10 "SUB" indicates a subset of the prediction, for all residues with an average expected accuracy of >82%.

Fig. 14 shows the same as Fig. 8, but for RCNMV coat protein (starting at nucleotide 3070).

MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

Background experimental details

- 15 In Examples 1-9, either a 16mer or a 23mer peptide sequence derived from the MUC1 gene product tandem repeat was expressed in the β B- β C loop of CPMV S protein. The corresponding CVPs are known herein as CPMV-MUC1(16) and CPMV-MUC1(23), respectively. The CVPs were used to immunise mice and the MUC1-specific immune response was examined. The CVPs were shown to be highly efficient carriers of the MUC1 peptide sequences, eliciting high
- 20 titres of anti-MUC1 antibodies that strongly recognised MUC1-bearing tumour cells and fresh breast tissue. Mucin-based CVPs therefore have significant potential as cancer vaccines against mucin-expressing epithelial cancers.

Experimental Animals. Female C57BL/6 mice and C57BL6x*SacII* MUC1-transgenic mice (both H-2^b), aged 6-8 weeks, were used in these studies.

- 25 **Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and cell lines.** HMFG2 is a MUC1-specific mouse IgG1 mAb [Burchell *et al.* (1983) *J. Immunol.* 131:508-513]. E4 cells are derived from the murine (BALB/c) mammary epithelial cancer cell line 410.4 transfected with the MUC1 gene. T47D is a human breast carcinoma cell line, obtained from ATCC.

- 30 **ELISA for detection of MUC1-specific antibody.** ELISAs for the detection of MUC1-specific and CPMV-specific antibody in sera was performed as described by Porta *et al.* [*Virology*

(1994) 202:949-955] and Usha *et al.* [Virology (1993) 197:366-374]. For the detection of anti-MUC1 antibody, the wells of 96-well ELISA plates (Dynatech Immulon-4) were coated with 0.1µg/well streptavidin for 1hr at 37°C followed by 0.1µg /well of biotinylated MUC1 60-mer peptide for 1hr at 37°C. For the detection of anti-CPMV antibody, the wells were coated directly with 0.1µg/well of CPMV for 1hr at 37°C. A series of doubling dilutions of serum were incubated on the antigen-coated plates for 1 and 4hr respectively at 37°C. Bound antibody was detected with alkaline phosphatase conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG1 and IgG2a (a mix of the two conjugates) or with IgA, with p-nitrophenyl phosphate (PNPP) as the substrate. The products were quantified with an automated ELISA reader at 405nm. For CPMV-specific titres, the results are expressed as an end-point titre, calculated as the inverse of the dilution that gave a mean OD₄₀₅ higher than the OD₄₀₅ obtained with a 1:50 dilution of pooled serum from unimmunised mice. For MUC1-specific titres, end-point titres are the inverse of the dilution that gave a mean OD₄₀₅ higher than the OD₄₀₅, obtained with a 1:50 dilution of pooled serum from wild-type CPMV-immunised mice.

Peptides. A MUC1 60-mer peptide representing 3 tandem repeats was synthesised using an automated peptide synthesizer and used for ELISA. A MUC1 16-mer peptide, corresponding to the sequence expressed on CPMV-MUC1(16) was synthesised and coupled to KLH by the EDC method (coupling efficiency approximately 15-25 peptide copies per KLH molecule).

Flow cytometry. Tumour cells (410.4, E4 and T47D; 2×10^5) were incubated with 40 µl of 1:4 diluted antisera (either NMS, or wild type-, CPMV-MUC1(16)- and CPMV-MUC1(23)-immunised serum) or 1:2 diluted monoclonal antibody HMFG2 supernatant for 1 hr on ice. After washing with PBS/3%FCS, the cells were incubated with 20µl of 1:20 diluted PE-labelled goat anti-mouse IgG. The mean fluorescence intensity of the staining was quantified by flow cytometry (FACSCAN).

Collection of Intestinal IgA. Intestinal lavages were collected from mice as follows. Mice were culled by exsanguination and the intestines and caeca washed out with 3ml of ice-cold 50mM EDTA containing soybean trypsin inhibitor. The lavage fluids were centrifuged at 13000g for 10mins to remove large debris and then 10µl/ml of 0.2M PMSF in ethanol (95% v/v) and 10µl/ml of sodium azide (2% w/v) added to the clarified supernatant. Foetal calf serum was added to 3% and the samples were stored at -80°C.

Example 1 – construction of mucin-displaying CVPs

The genome of CPMV consists of two molecules of single stranded plus sense RNA, (RNA1 and RNA2) which were cloned on separate plasmids as full length cDNAs (pCP1 and pCP2 respectively), as described by Dessens & Lommonossoff [*J. Gen. Virol.* (1993) 74:889-892].

- 5 Vector pCP2-0.51 is derived from pCP2 (see Example 6 of WO96/02649) and was constructed as described by Dalsgaard *et al.* [*Nature Biotechnology* (1997) 15:248-252]. The *AatII* site present in the pUC vector sequences of pCP2 was removed by linearisation of the plasmid with this enzyme, followed by treatment with the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I to eliminate the 3' overhangs, followed by re-ligation to form pCP2-*AatII*. pCP2-*AatII* was then
10 digested with *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI and the 2kb fragment released was replaced by the equivalent fragment derived from pMT7-HRVII [Porta *et al., supra*]. The resultant plasmid, pCP2-0.51, therefore contained the mutated S coat protein gene containing an engineered *AatII* restriction site together with additional sequences coding for a fragment of the coat protein of human rhinovirus (HRV) inserted between RNA2 nucleotides 2725 and 2726.
- 15 Vector pCP2-0.51 was then digested with *Sac*II and *Eco*RI to release a DNA fragment carrying the CaMV 35S promoter fused to the full-length cDNA clone of CPMV RNA2. This fragment was ligated into similarly digested pBSII SK⁺ (Stratagene) to make vector pCP7-HRVII. The HRV sequence together with CPMV flanking sequences were then excised by digestion with *Nhe*II and *Aat*II and replaced by a pair of chemically synthesised overlapping oligonucleotides
20 carrying the CPMV flanking sequences only [Usha *et al., supra*], thus restoring the normal CPMV sequence between these two restriction sites. All the cloning junctions described were verified by sequence analysis and the resultant vector was called **pCP7**. Subsequently the complete sequence of the CaMV35S-CPMV RNA2 cassette was determined between the *Sac*II and *Eco*RI restriction sites.
- 25 To produce CPMV-MUC1(16) and CPMV-MUC1(23), pCP7 was digested with *Nhe*II and *Aat*II and the excised fragment replaced by oligonucleotides coding for the excised wild-type CPMV sequences plus either a 16-mer MUC1 peptide "GVTSAPDTRPAPGSTA" (SEQ. ID No. 6) or a 23-mer MUC1 peptide "PDTRPAPGSTAPPAHGVTSA PDT" (SEQ. ID No. 7), forming plasmids pCP7-MUC1(16) and pCP7-MUC1(23), respectively.
- 30 Cowpea plants (*Vigna unguiculata* cv. Blackeye) were inoculated with linearised pCP1 and pCP7-MUC1 as described by Dessens *et al. [supra]*. DNA maxi-preps were made of each plasmid using the Qiagen purification system. 50µg pCP1 were digested with *Mlu*I and 50µg

pCP7-MUC1 were digested with *Eco*II. The plasmids were purified by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation and each resuspended in 125µl 10mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0. The plasmids were mixed together and 50µl of the mixture were inoculated onto one primary leaf of each of five 10 day old cowpea plants by manual abrasion in the presence of carborundum powder.

All five inoculated plants developed chlorotic lesions in the inoculated leaves and a chlorotic mosaic on the secondary leaves. The recombinant virus spread throughout the growing plant in a manner similar to that of a natural infection with wild type CPMV. After 2-3 weeks, small samples (~10mg) of tissue were taken from the youngest leaves of each plant, which were showing good symptoms of viral infection. Each sample was ground in 400µl 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer, the extract was emulsified with an equal volume of a 1:1 mixture of chloroform/n-butanol, clarified by centrifugation and the aqueous phase was retained. 100µl of 1 M NaCl/20% PEG 8000 were added, followed by incubation on ice for 20 minutes. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation for 5 min and resuspended in 20µl sterile deionised water. 2µl of each particle 'mini-prep' were then analysed by RT-PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis, and the products of the PCR reaction were sequenced. In all 5 plants, a single band of the expected size was seen in the RT-PCR reaction and the sequence data showed that the sequence of the inserted RNA was correct in each case.

The leaf material of all the plants was then harvested and the recombinant virus purified according to standard techniques (as described in Example 2 of WO96/02649) and the final product sterilised by filtration through a 0.2µm membrane. 5µg of the purified virus were analysed by SDS-PAGE followed by staining with coomassie brilliant blue. A band corresponding to the virus L subunit was seen together with a band characteristic of the S coat protein containing an inserted peptide. The purified particles were also analysed via RT-PCR, and the PCR products further characterised by sequencing. The sequence of the inserted RNA was found to be correct.

The characterised particles from the first round of infection were designated as master stocks and used to initiate a second round of infection in a further five plants in order to generate a working stock [as described by Usha *et al.*, *supra*]. After 2-3 weeks the plant leaves were harvested and the recombinant virus purified and characterised as before, with the same results. This demonstrated that the inserted peptide sequence was stable over at least two passages.

Each CVP expressed 60 copies of the appropriate MUC1 peptide sequence. 1µg CVP contains approximately 17ng of the appropriate peptide sequence.

Example 2 – immunogenicity of MUC1-based CVPs

Mice (8 per group) were immunised subcutaneously with 100µg of CPMV-MUC1(16), CPMV-MUC1(23) or wild-type CPMV in Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA). Subsequent 25µg boosters in incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) were administered on day 14 and 28, and sera collected on day 42 for analysis by ELISA.

Both engineered CVPs and the wild-type CPMV elicited very high titres of CPMV-specific antibody on day 42 (Figs. 2A-C). However, only the CVP-immunised mice produced MUC1-specific antibody, which was not detected in the sera of wild type immunised mice (Figs. 2A-C). Titres elicited by CPMV-MUC1(16) (mean titre of 100,800) and CPMV-MUC1(23) (mean titre of 86,300) were generally similar.

Thus CPMV is a highly effective carrier of peptides from the MUC1 tandem repeat for vaccination purposes. The three doses of CVP which were administered totalled 150µg, which constitutes only ~3µg MUC1 peptide.

Example 3 – CVP-immunised mouse sera stain MUC1-expressing tumour cell lines

Sera from mice immunised with the above CVPs in FCA were shown to stain mouse E4 and human T47D tumour cells, both of which express MUC1, very intensely by flow cytometric analysis (Fig. 3A). The staining of both cell lines using sera from CPMV-MUC1(16)-immunised mice was stronger than that of CPMV-MUC1(23)-immunised sera (Fig. 3A). The staining of T47D cells using sera from CPMV-MUC1(16)-immunised mice was comparable to that achieved with the anti-MUC1 mAb HMFG2.

In a second experiment, sera from mice immunised with CPMV-MUC1(16) in QS-21 also strongly stained the two MUC1-expressing cell lines but not the MUC1-negative 410.4 cells (Fig. 3B). In both studies, the staining was highly specific since the staining of the MUC1-expressing cells with sera from wild-type CPMV-immunised mice and normal mouse serum was extremely weak. Mice immunised with the MUC1 peptide conjugated to KLH elicited only low titres of MUC1-specific IgG and consequently stained the cells only very weakly (Fig. 3B).

The intense specific staining of the cells could also be visualised under a fluorescence microscope. Sera from CPMV-MUC1(16)-immunised mice strongly stained both cell lines,

The conclusion is that two doses of 1µg CPMV-MUC1(16) (~20ng of 16-mer peptide per dose) induced generally higher and more consistent titres of anti-peptide antibodies than did two doses of 50µg of the MUC1 16mer peptide conjugated to KLH. This is particularly remarkable since there is in excess of 2000-fold more peptide being presented by the KLH conjugate.

Similarly high post-immunisation (day 28) titres were seen in mice immunised with either 0.5µg or 5µg CPMV-MUC1(16) on days 0 and 21. The 0.5µg dose gave a titre comparable to the previous 50µg KLH-MUC1 experiment.

Example 5 – CPMV is a more effective MUC1 carrier than KLH in MUC1-transgenic mice

- 5 To be effective in humans, a MUC1-based vaccine must be able to overcome immune privilege and elicit MUC1-specific antibodies when present as a self antigen. In the previous examples, the MUC1 peptides were not functioning as self antigens, but were foreign (mouse and human sequences ~30% homology). To address this issue, mice transgenic for human MUC1 were tested [Graham, *et al.* (1996) *Int. J. Cancer* 65:664-670] as a better model of humans.
- 10 Transgenic mice expressing human MUC1 and non-transgenic mice were immunised subcutaneously with 100µg of CPMV-MUC1(16), wild type CPMV or 25µg MUC1-KLH, using QuilA adjuvant, and boosted with the same dose on day 14. Sera were pooled for mice in the same group, except for the transgenic mice immunised with CPMV-MUC1(16) which were analysed individually (Fig. 5).
- 15 CPMV-MUC1(16) was shown to be highly immunogenic in human MUC1-transgenic mice with anti-MUC1 peptide antibody titres only a little lower than those elicited in non-transgenic mice (Fig. 5). Moreover, the anti-MUC1 peptide antibodies elicited in the transgenic mice by CPMV-MUC1(16) immunisation were considerably greater than those elicited by the MUC1 peptide conjugated to KLH (Fig. 5). The average titres of anti-MUC1 antibodies were 40,500
- 20 following immunisation with CPMV-MUC1(16), 400 following immunisation with MUC1-KLH, and 120 following immunisation with wild type CPMV (see Fig. 5). These data confirm and further support the enhanced presentation system of the present invention by demonstrating that the presentation of a mucin peptide on the surface of a plant virus is significantly better than the presentation as conjugated to KLH (the conventional carrier).
- 25 In summary, over 10-fold less peptide was presented on CPMV as compared to KLH, and a 100-fold higher level of anti-peptide antibody was induced by CPMV-MUC1(16) as compared to corresponding presentation by KLH (1,000-fold difference in total).

Example 6 – relative efficacy of adjuvants to augment CPMV-MUC1(16) responses

- Because FCA is not a suitable adjuvant for human use, further studies were conducted with
- 30 CPMV-MUC1(16) adjuvanted with less toxic adjuvants including the saponin-based adjuvants QuilA (20µg/dose), QS-21 (20µg/dose) and ISCOM matrix (10µg/dose), or with algamulin (a

mixture of alum and gamma-inulin: 10µg/dose). Mice were immunised subcutaneously with 50µg CPMV-MUC1(16) in the above adjuvants and boosted with 25µg on days 14 and 28 with the same adjuvant.

Mice immunised with CPMV-MUC1(16) in QS-21 elicited higher titres of MUC1-specific antibody than all other adjuvants tested (Fig. 6), including FCA, when assayed on day 42. Titres in all the adjuvant groups remained high for 70 days and then began to drop, except for the FCA and QS-21 groups which still had high titres on day 150 (mean titres of 76,800 and 57,600 respectively; Fig. 6).

Thus QS-21 facilitates optimal and sustained responses to CVPs and, together with its favourable safety profile, provides a suitable choice as an adjuvant for inclusion in a CVP-based cancer vaccine for human use.

Example 7 – exogenous adjuvant is not required for CVPs of the present invention

Mice were immunised subcutaneously with 100µg of CPMV-MUC1(16) on days 0 and 21 with QS-21 and on days 0 and 14 without QS-21 as adjuvant. On day 35, the titres of the anti-MUC1 peptide antibodies were not very different between the mice immunised with or without adjuvant (see Fig. 4). Although the use of QS-21 is beneficial in increasing, and probably also prolonging, the immune response to the mucin peptide present on the CPMV particle, the use of an adjuvant such as QS-21 is not essential. CPMV-MUC1(16) administered without any adjuvant induced a good immune response to the MUC1 peptide.

Example 8 – intranasal vaccination

Mice were immunised intranasally on days 0, 7, 14, and 21 with 100µg per dose of CPMV-MUC1(16) or wild-type CPMV. The immunisations were performed with or without the use of cholera toxin (CT). CT has nasal adjuvant activity via its ability to recognise certain cell-surface receptors and thus aid in the delivery of antigen to professional antigen presenting cells.

The titres of anti-peptide IgG antibodies in the sera following intranasal administration of CPMV-MUC1(16) were approximately the same when the CVP was administered with or without CT (1:53,440 with CT, 1:57,680 without CT, see Fig. 7A). In addition, high titres of anti-MUC1 IgA antibodies in intestinal lavages were found in animals immunised with or without CT (see Fig. 7B).

The conclusion is that CT is not necessary to induce mucosal or systemic immune responses to CPMV-MUC1(16) administered via a nasal route. Furthermore, CT does not enhance the immune response to CPMV-MUC1(16) following intranasal administration.

5 In further experiments, ISCOMs were used as intranasal adjuvants in place of CT. Mice were immunised with 4 doses of 100µg CPMV-MUC1(16) + 10µg ISCOM matrix. On days 42, high titres of CPMV- and MUC1-specific IgG were detected in sera, and specific IgA in bronchial, intestinal and, to a lesser extent, vaginal lavages (Figure 7C). The titres obtained with ISCOMs, however, were not significantly higher than those obtained without any exogenous adjuvant.

Example 9 – Th1 bias

10 In mice, Th1 cells mediate macrophage and cytotoxic T cell activation and B cell class-switching to the IgG_{2a} sub-class [Mosmann *et al.* (1986) *J. Immunol.* 136:2248-2357]. IgG_{2a} is the principal effector isotype of IgG that induces antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis, and better protects mice against tumours [Kaminski *et al.* (1986) *J. Immunol.* 136:1123-1130]. Conversely, Th2 cells induce B cells to produce IgG₁, which is
15 ineffective at mediating ADCC or phagocytosis. In view of the opposing functions of Th1 and Th2 CD4⁺ cells and of the IgG isotypes generated by these subsets, it is desirable for cancer vaccines to prime Th1 cells.

The isotype of MUC1-specific antibodies was examined in mice immunised with two doses of 100µg CPMV-MUC1(16) without adjuvant, or two doses of 10µg or 100µg CPMV-MUC1(16)
20 in QS-21. Titres of all isotypes were present, but IgG_{2a} and IgG_{2b} were predominant over IgG₁. This bias towards a Th1-type response was most pronounced in the absence of adjuvant. The control mice, immunised with KLH-MUC1 in QS-21, produced predominantly IgG₁.

The bias of the isotype response appear to be related to the ability of the virus to prime virus-specific Th1 cells which produce IFN-γ but little IL-4, allowing class-switching of
25 peptide-specific B cells to IgG_{2a}-producing plasma cells.

Example 10 – vaccination with CPMV-MUC1(16) causes a reduction in tumour burden

The ability of CPMV-MUC1(16) to elicit antibodies which can cause regression of tumours expressing the MUC1 protein was demonstrated in a mouse tumour model. Tumour cells expressing the MUC1 protein were injected subcutaneously into mice which had previously
30 been immunised with CPMV-MUC1(16). Full details are described below.

Nine C57BLScSn mice were immunised subcutaneously with 100 µg of CPMV-MUC1(16) on day 0 and 21 using Quil A (10 mg/dose) as adjuvant. A further 6 mice were immunised with phosphate buffered saline containing QuilA (PBSA) in a similar manner. On day 42 all mice were administered with 2×10^5 MUC1 RMA cells by subcutaneous injection in the flank and the tumour size measured in two dimensions over the following month using vernier calipers. Tumour volumes were calculated as $(a \times b^2)/2$ where a represents the largest diameter and b the smallest diameter. Mice were sacrificed when tumours reached ~ 1 to 1.5 cm in diameter. The results are summarised below:

Vaccine	Mouse No.	Days post challenge						
		9	14	16	21	23	28	30
CVP	1	0.108	0.06	0	0	0	0	0
	2	0.0108	0.06	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.013	0.004
	3	0.05	0.05	0	0	0	0	0
	4	0.062	0.032	0	0	0	0	0
	5	0.062	0.062	0.062	0.0864	1.14	1.8	
	6	0.108	0.126	0.196	0.6	0.85	1.617	
	7	0.126	0.126	0.441	0.441	0.793	1.68	
	8	0.126	0.126	0.108	0	0	0	
	9	0.075	0.148	0.22	1.27			
PBSA	10	0.126	0.5	0.55	1.68			
	11	0.108	0.428	0.5	1.37			
	12	0.17	0.256	0.936				
	13	0.075	0.5	0.55	1.37			
	14	0.0907	0.108	0.108	0.256	0.5	1.372	
	15	0.171	0.5	0.726	1.47			

It can be seen from the above data that over 50% of the mice (5/9 mice) immunised with CPMV-MUC1 were protected compared to 0% (6/6) in the PBSA-immunised control group.

Example 11 – further insertion points in VP-S of CPMV

Examples 1-10 illustrate the presentation of a tumour-associated mucin at the βB-βC insertion site of the CPMV S subunit. Alternative insertion sites within the S subunit are also suitable for achieving the CVPs of the present invention. In this respect, knowing the nucleic acid sequence encoding the S subunit and a range of desired insertion locations therein, the skilled person can simply prepare a suitable expression vector in a manner analogous to the preparation of pCP7.

Similarly, knowing the nucleic acid sequence encoding the L subunit of CPMV and a range of desired insertion locations therein, the skilled person can simply prepare an expression vector encoding, *inter alia*, the L subunit in a manner analogous to the preparation of pCP7.

Although examples 1 to 10 employ the MUC1(16) and MUC1(23) epitopes, any mucin peptide
5 epitope sequence can be used.

As mentioned above, a mucin peptide (*e.g.* the 16-mer) can be inserted in the $\beta C'\beta C''$ loop of the small coat protein of CPMV. For example, the peptide can be cloned in between D₄₄+D₄₅, in a suitable vector. This results in a good viral yield with good immunological properties.

Insertions in the $\beta C'\beta C''$ loop can also be combined with insertions in the C-terminus of the
10 VP-S. The C-terminus of VP-S sticks out from the surface of the virion and is highly immunogenic. The region that is not part of the "body" of the virus starts with Pro₁₈₂ and runs up to the C-terminus Ala₂₁₃. Insertions of mucin epitopes can be made in this region, with a particularly effective insertion site being between R₁₉₉ and S₂₀₀.

Example 12 – insertions in SBMV

15 The following examples illustrate the application of the present invention to plant viruses other than CPMV – any icosahedral plant virus can be used as a potential carrier for these mucin peptides. This example describes the use of SBMV instead of CPMV as a carrier for the MUC1(16) epitope.

Inspection of the crystal structure of SBMV strain C reveals that a portion of the loop between
20 the βH and βI strands is well exposed upon the surface of the virus at the five-fold and quasi-six fold axes. This portion of the loop comprises amino-acids 251 to 255 of the linear coat protein sequence and nucleotides 3967 to 3981 of the genomic RNA sequence.

The cDNA of the complete 4194bp RNA genome of SBMV is cloned into a derivative of pBluescriptII plasmid vector lacking the T7 and T3 promoters using standard techniques. The
25 cDNA is cloned immediately downstream of a bacteriophage T7 such that a unique restriction enzyme site is present at the 3' terminus of the cDNA, thus allowing linearisation of the recombinant plasmid to generate run-off transcripts which mimic the wild-type RNA. As an alternative, the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter may be used.

A sub-clone is then made from this full-length cDNA clone by inserting the *BglII-XmnI*
30 fragment (genomic RNA nucleotides 3165-4161), which contains within it the whole coat

protein open reading frame, into *Bam*HI/*Hinc*II digested pBluescriptII. This sub-clone is further manipulated via site-directed mutagenesis at genomic nucleotide positions 3969 (change A to C) and 3984 (G to T) to create *Bam*HI and *Hpa*I restriction sites, respectively (Figure 8A). The modified subclone is digested with these enzymes and separated from the small excised
5 fragment which is replaced by oligonucleotides coding for the excised nucleotide sequence plus nucleotides coding for the MUC1(16) insert. The five constructs shown in Figure 8B contain the insert between coat protein amino acids 251-252, 252-253, 253-254, 254-255, or 255-256.

The modified region of the coat protein from each of these constructs is isolated on a *Hind*III/*Avr*II fragment (genomic nucleotides 3434-4096) and used to replace the corresponding
10 fragment in the full-length cDNA clone of the virus. Each of these clones is then linearised at the 3' terminus of the cDNA and, in the case of a T7 bacteriophage promoter construct, used to generate capped run-off RNA transcripts which are then inoculated onto the host-plant (*Vigna unguiculata*), or inoculated directly when under the control of the 35S promoter.

The inoculated plants are monitored for symptoms, and the strength of symptoms, yield and
15 stability for each construct are assessed in order to determine the optimal insertion site. If desirable, purified virus may also be used to immunise experimental animals in order to determine the levels of immune response generated by each construct.

This example can be extrapolated to allow insertion in any of the exposed loops of SBMV. Similarly, any mucin peptide epitope sequence can be used instead of MUC1(16).

20 **Example 13 – insertions in LTSV**

This example describes the determination of a potential insertion site for epitopes by alignment of the primary sequence of a virus whose structure is unknown (LTSV), against those of viruses whose structure has been determined.

The crystal structures of two sobemoviruses (SBMV and SMV) have been solved at high
25 resolution. Comparison of the crystal structures reveals that secondary structural elements are well conserved between the viruses and, in particular, the protruding loop between the β H and β I is almost identical in shape and location between the two viruses. This structural element would therefore be expected to be well conserved in all sobemoviruses.

Alignment of the primary sequences of LTSV, SBMV and SMV shows a strong conservation of
30 residues between the three viruses within the β H strand region and significant sequence

homology within the β 1 strand (Fig.9). This allows the loop region of LTSV to be inferred as spanning amino acids 218 to 224 of the coat protein.

The 4275bp LTSV RNA genome is cloned as cDNA, as described for SBMV in example 12. The genomic clone is then modified by site directed mutagenesis at position 3959 (C to T) and position 3998 (T to C) to create unique *Pst*I and *Kpn*I restriction enzyme sites, respectively (Fig.10A). The modified genomic clone is digested with these restriction enzymes and separated from the small excised fragment which is replaced by oligonucleotides coding for the excised nucleotide sequence plus nucleotides coding for the epitope sequence MUC1(16). The six constructs shown in Fig.10B contain the epitope sequence between coat protein amino acids 218-219, 219-220, 220-221, 221-222, 222-223, or 223-224.

Each of these clones is linearised at the 3' terminus of the cDNA and, in the case of a T7 bacteriophage promoter construct, used to generate capped run-off RNA transcripts which are then inoculated onto the host-plant (*Nicotiana clevelandii*), or inoculated directly when under the control of the 35S promoter. The inoculated plants are used as described in example 12.

This example can be adapted to insert of any peptide into any of the exposed loops of LTSV.

Example 14 – insertions in RCNMV

Like example 13, this example describes the determination of potential insertion sites in a virus (red clover necrotic mosaic virus, RCNMV), whose crystal structure is unknown, using primary structural alignments with a second virus whose crystal structure has been determined (TBSV).

This example uses secondary structure prediction algorithms.

The crystal structure of the coat protein of TBSV reveals that each of the 180 coat protein subunits forming the T=3 icosahedron consists of two β -barrel domains. The first domain forms the surface of the virus particle and is termed the S domain and is equivalent to the single domain found in SBMV. The second, much smaller, domain forms a surface protrusion at right angles to the plane of the S domain. This P domain forms dimeric interactions with the P domain of a neighbouring coat-protein subunit at the strict and quasi two-fold axes of the icosahedron. The presence of the P domain causes the virions to appear distinctly granular when examined under the electron microscope. Between the S and P domains is a short flexible linker followed by a pair of β -strands connected by a loop which appears to be highly exposed on the viral surface with no obvious role in the contacts between subunits. This loop provides a potential target for epitope insertions.

Dianthoviruses (*e.g.* RCNMV) also appear distinctly granular when subjected to electron microscopy, and this together with the size of the coat proteins and their limited homology with those of tombusviruses suggests that they may have structural similarity. Alignment of the coat protein sequences of RCNMV and TBSV (Fig.11) using a Lipman-Pearson alignment algorithm, which recognises sequence conservation as well as identity, gives a similarity index of 26.9 (strict homology is 23%). From the alignment it can be seen that the S domain is better conserved (TBSV residues 100 to 269, strict homology 36%) than the P domain (TBSV residues 270-388, poorly conserved).

The loop of interest comprises TBSV residues L²⁸⁰A²⁸¹G²⁸² and the sequence around this region shows some similarity to the sequence of RCNMV. Secondary structure prediction algorithms are also used to predict the location of β -strands, however, and hence the loops which lie between them. Fig.12 shows a Chou-Fasman β -region prediction plot of RCNMV residues 214-254 using an algorithm based upon the structures found in 64 proteins which is claimed to be 80% accurate at predicting β -strands of interest. The plot suggests that β -strands of interest are located between residues 214-221 and 226-228, and hence the loop at the tip of the domain will be residues 222-225 and residues 245-248. A more sophisticated prediction algorithm, the EMBL PHDsec program based upon trained neural networks, may also be used. The resulting output for the region of interest is shown in Fig.13. This locates the β -strands to residues 220-223 and 227-239, therefore the loop is comprised of residues 224-226. Combining the two sets of data, the loop will lie within the region spanned by residues 222 to 226.

Dianthoviruses have a bipartite RNA genome, both RNAs being required for infectivity. Accordingly, RCNMV RNAs 1 and 2 are cloned as cDNA, using standard molecular biological techniques, into a suitable vector, downstream of a CaMV 35S promoter. As an alternative, the T7 promoter may be used. Both clones are engineered such that they can be linearised at the 3' termini of the cDNAs.

The cDNA genomic clone of RNA 1 is modified by site directed mutagenesis at positions 3078 (A to G) and 3081 (G to C), to create a unique *Apa*LI restriction site, and at positions 3108 to 3111 (ACTC to GTTA) to create a unique *Hpa*I restriction site (Fig.14A). Although the mutation at position 3081 is not silent, the correct codon can be restored when ligating in oligonucleotides to generate the epitope insertion. The modified genomic clone is digested with these restriction enzymes and separated from the small excised fragment which is replaced by oligonucleotides coding for the excised nucleotide sequence plus nucleotides coding for the

MUC1(16) epitope. The six constructs shown in Fig.14B insert the epitope sequence between coat protein amino acids 221-222, 222-223, 223-224, 224-225, 225-226, or 226-227.

As in examples 12 and 13, each of these clones is linearised at the 3' terminus of the cDNA and, in the case of a T7 promoter construct, used to generate capped run-off RNA transcripts. These are then mixed with similar transcripts from the linearised cDNA clone of genomic RNA2 and inoculated onto the host-plant. Linearised clones are inoculated directly when under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. Inoculated plants are used as described previously.

This example can be adapted to allow insertions of any peptide into any exposed RCNMV loop.

It will be understood that the invention has been described by way of example only and modifications may be made whilst remaining within the scope and spirit of the invention.

CLAIMS

1. A chimaeric virus particle derived from a plant virus having a coat protein with a beta barrel structure and modified by insertion of an immunogenically active peptide of a tumour-associated mucin at an immunogenically effective site in the coat protein.
- 5 2. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1, in which the insert is present in a loop connecting beta sheets.
3. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 1, in which the insert is present in the region of the C-terminus of a coat protein.
4. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 3, in which the insert is present at a point
10 within 30 amino acids, preferably within 15 amino acids, of the C-terminus.
5. A chimaeric virus particle according to any of Claims 1 to 4, in which the tumour-associated mucin is PEM.
6. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 5, in which the insert is a peptide derived
15 from the 20 amino acid repeat of the extracellular portion of the MUC1 transmembrane molecule.
7. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 6, in which the peptide is a 16-mer, preferably SEQ ID 6, or a 23-mer peptide, preferably SEQ ID 7.
8. A chimaeric virus particle according to any of the preceding claims, in which the plant virus is a comovirus.
- 20 9. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 8, in which the plant virus is cowpea mosaic virus.
10. A chimaeric virus particle according to Claim 9, in which the insert is present in the S protein of the virus.
11. A method for producing a chimaeric virus particle according to any of Claims 1 to 10,
25 which comprises introducing a nucleotide sequence coding for the tumour-associated mucin peptide to modify the plant viral nucleic acid which codes for the coat protein; infecting plants, plant tissue, plant cells, or protoplasts with the modified viral nucleic acid; and harvesting chimaeric virus particles.

12. A method according to Claim 11, in which the introduced nucleotide sequence is inserted in that part of the plant viral nucleic acid which codes for an exposed region of the coat protein.
13. A method according to Claim 11, applied to an RNA plant virus, which comprises
5 introducing a DNA coding for the tumour-associated mucin peptide into a cDNA corresponding to the RNA of the plant virus which codes for an exposed portion of its coat protein; inoculating plants, plant tissue, plant cells, or protoplasts with the thus modified cDNA or an RNA transcript thereof, if necessary together with any other DNA or RNA required for multiplication and assembly of whole virus particles in the plant material; and
10 harvesting chimaeric virus particles.
14. A method according to Claim 13, in which the modified cDNA is produced by introducing the DNA encoding the mucin peptide into a DNA fragment excised from the plant viral cDNA, and reinserting the modified excised fragment so as to constitute the plant viral cDNA in modified form.
15. A method according to any of Claims 11 to 14, in which modified virus produced, or RNA extracted therefrom, is passaged in plants to produce further yields of modified virus.
16. A vaccine comprising chimaeric virus particles according to any of Claims 1 to 10 as an immunogenic component thereof.
17. A vaccine according to Claim 16, further comprising an adjuvant.
- 20 18. A vaccine according to Claim 17, in which the adjuvant is selected from Freund's complete adjuvant, QuilA, QS-21, ISCOM matrix, alum, algammaulin: or combinations thereof.
19. A vaccine according to Claim 16, said vaccine being substantially free from adjuvant.
20. A method of eliciting in an animal, including a mammal, an immune response characterised by the production of serum immunoglobulins specific for mucin peptides or polypeptides,
25 which comprises the administration of an immunogenic complex.
21. A chimaeric virus particle according to any of Claims 1 to 10 for use as a vaccine.
22. The use of a chimaeric virus particle according to any of Claims 1 to 10 in the manufacture of a vaccine for the treatment and/or prevention of tumours and/or cancer.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
15 March 2001 (15.03.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/18199 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: C12N 15/12, 15/40, 15/62, 7/01, C07K 14/08, A61K 39/00
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/GB00/03500
- (22) International Filing Date:
11 September 2000 (11.09.2000)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
9921337.3 9 September 1999 (09.09.1999) GB
- (72) Inventors; and
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): BENDIG, Mary, M. [GB/GB]; The Dow Chemical Company, Intellectual Property Section-Law Department, 1790 Building, Midland, MI 48674 (US). JONES, Tim, D. [GB/GB]; The Dow Chemical Company, Intellectual Property Section-Law Department, 1790 Building, Midland, MI 48674 (US). LONGSTAFF, Marian [GB/GB]; The Dow Chemical Company, Intellectual Property Section-Law Department, 1790 Building, Midland, MI 48674 (US). HELLENDORF, Koen [NL/GB]; The Dow Chemical Company, Intellectual Property Section-Law Department, 1790 Building, Midland, MI 48674 (US).
- (74) Agents: FISHER, Adrian, John et al.; Carpmaels & Ransford, 43 Bloomsbury Square, London WC1A 2RA (GB).
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [US/US]; 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674 (US).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: CHIMAERIC PLANT VIRUSES WITH MUCIN PEPTIDES

(a)

Sequence of SBMV Coat Protein Spanning The Potential Insertion Site With Introduced Base Changes and New Restriction Sites: (sequence starts at nt 3955)

```
M E G G S S K T A V N T G
ATGGAAGGAGGATCATCTAAGACTGCTGTGAACACTGGG
      ↓           ↓
    GGATCC      CTTAAC
    BamHI       HpaI
```

(b)

Series of Sequences to be Inserted Between the Restriction Sites to Insert the MUC1(16) Epitope at Various Locations

```
G V T S A P D T R P A P G S T A
GGTGTACTTCTGCTCTGATACTAGACCTGCTCTGGTCTACTGCT
CCACAATGAAGACGACCACTATGATCTGGACGAGCACCAGATGACGA
```

```

      ↓           ↓
    GATCC      TCTAAGACTGCTGTT
      G      AGATTCTGACGACAA

    GATCCTCT      AAGACTGCTGTT
      GAGA      TTCTGACGACAA

    GATCCTCTAAG      ACTGCTGTT
      GAGATTCT      TGAACGACAA


    GATCCTCTAAGACT      GCTGTT
      GAGATTCTGA      CGACAA

    GATCCTCTAAGACTGCT      GTT
      GAGATTCTGACGA      CAA
```

(57) Abstract: Mucin peptide epitopes are inserted into the coat protein of a plant virus (e.g. a comovirus such as CPMV) having a beta-barrel structure at an immunogenically effective site, such as in a loop connecting beta sheets or at/near the C-terminus. The resulting chimaeric virus particles are extremely immunogenic, giving better results than KLH conjugation and not requiring the addition of exogenous adjuvant. They are effective at mucosal surfaces, particularly when administered intranasally.


WO 01/18199 A1


FIG. 1



2/26



FIG. 1(contd.)







4/26

FIG. 1 (contd.)





5/26

FIG. 1 (contd.)




6/26

FIG. 1(contd.)




7/26

FIG. 1(contd.)



8/26

FIG. 1(contd.)



10/070566







FIG. 1 (contd.)




11/26

FIG. 2A




12/26

FIG. 2B




13/26

FIG. 2C




14/26

FIG. 3A




15/26

FIG. 3B




16/26

FIG. 4




17/26

FIG. 5




18/26

FIG. 6




19/26

FIG. 7A



20/26

FIG. 7B



21/26

FIG. 8(a)

Sequence of SBMV Coat Protein Spanning The Potential Insertion Site With Introduced Base Changes and New Restriction Sites: (sequence starts at nt 3955)





FIG. 8(b)

Series of Sequences to be Inserted Between the Restriction Sites to Insert the MUC1(16) Epitope at Various Locations



22/26

FIG. 9

LTSV : NI---YAPARLTIAA-ANSSINIASVGTLYATYEVEL
 SBMV : NIGNILVPARLVIAIEGGSSKTAVNTGRLYASYTIRL
 SMV : NIATDLVPARLVIALLDGSSSTAVAAGRIYASYTIQM

#####

β H loop β I

FIG. 13

	220	230	240
AA	ASIVQKYVIDLGGTLTSFEGPSYLMPP		
PHD sec	HHHHHEEEE EEEE EEEEE		
Rel sec	145432244525515625586487624		
detail :			
prH sec	46665532111110000000000000		
prE sec	101123456632246752212688753		
prL sec	422221112246642237787311246		
subset : SUB sec	..H.....E.LL.EE.LLLL.EEE..		

Abbreviations :

AA : amino acid sequence

H : helix

E : extended (sheet)

blank : other (loop)

PHD : Profile network prediction HeiDelberg

Rel : Reliability index of prediction (0-9)

prH : probability for assigning helix

prE : probability for assigning strand

prL : probability for assigning loop

SUB : a subset of the prediction, for all residues with an average expected accuracy of
 >82%

23/26

FIG. 10(a)

Sequence of LTSV Coat Protein Spanning The Potential Insertion Site With Introduced Base Changes and New Restriction Sites: (sequence starts at nt 3954)

I A A A N S S I N I A S V G T L Y
 ATAGCCGCAGCTAACAGCTCCATAAACATAGCTAGTGTGGGTACTCTTTAT


↓
 CTGCAG
*Pst*I

↓
 GGTACC
*Kpn*I

FIG. 10(b)

Series of Sequences to be Inserted Between the Restriction Sites to Insert the MUC1(16) Epitope at Various Locations.

G V T S A P D T R P A P G S T A
 GGTGTTACTTCTGCTCCTGATACTAGACCTGCTCCTGGTTCTACTGCT
 CCACAATGAAGACGACCACTATGATCTGGACGAGGACCAAGATGACGA



GCTAACAGC
 ACGTCGATTGTCG

TCCATAAACATAGCTAGTGTGGGTAC
 AGGTATTTGTATCGATCACACC

GCTAACAGCTCC
 ACGTCGATTGTCGAGG

ATAAACATAGCTAGTGTGGGTAC
 TATTTGTATCGATCACACC

GCTAACAGCTCCATA
 ACGTCGATTGTCGAGGTAT

AACATAGCTAGTGTGGGTAC
 TTGTATCGATCACACC

GCTAACAGCTCCATAAAC
 ACGTCGATTGTCGAGGTATTTG

ATAGCTAGTGTGGGTAC
 TATCGATCACACC

GCTAACAGCTCCATAAACATA
 ACGTCGATTGTCGAGGTATTTGTAT

GCTAGTGTGGGTAC
 CGATCACACC

GCTAACAGCTCCATAAACATAGCT
 ACGTCGATTGTCGAGGTATTTGTATCGA

AGTGTGGGTAC
 TCACACC

24/26

FIG. 11

Lipman-Pearson alignment of RCNMV and TBSV coat protein sequences.

Lipman-Pearson Protein Alignment

Ktuple: 2; Gap Penalty: 4; Gap Length Penalty: 12

Seq1(1>389)	Seq2(1>340)	Similarity	Gap	Gap	Consensus
tbsvtbs.PRO	rcnmvdia.PRO	Index	Number	Length	Length
(64>387)	(8>338)	26.9	4	7	331

```


      f70      f80      f90      f100     f110     f120
KKQOMINHVGGTGGAIMAPVAVTROLVGSKPFTGRTSGSVTVTHREYLSQVNNSTGFQV
K.:O.:.:T.:.:VA.:.: :.:H.:.:V.S.:.:
KSKORSQPRNRTPTNSVKTVAIPFAKTQIIKTVNPPPKPARGILHTQLVMSVVGSVQMRT
  ^10      ^20      ^30      ^40      ^50      ^60
      f130      f140      f150      f160      f170      f180
NGGIVGNLLQLNPLNGTLFSWLPAIASNFDYYTFNSVVLHYVPLCSTTEVGRVAIFYDKD
N.G.:.:LNP.N:LF:L: A:N:D Y.:.:L:YVPL.:.:GRVA:.D.D
NNGKSNQRFRLNPSNPALFPTLAYEAANYDMYRLKKLTLYVPLVTVONSGRVAMIWDPD
  ^70      ^80      ^90      ^100     ^110     ^120
      f190      f200      f210      f220      f230      f240
SEDPEPADRVELANYSVLKETAPWAEAMLRVPTDKIKRFCDSDSTS DHKLI DLGQLGIAT
S:D:.P:.R E:..YS .TA...L :P:D: RF .D:..T D:KL:D:GQL :.T
SODSAPQSRQEI SAYSRSVSTAVYEKCSLTIPADNQWRFVADNTTVDRKLVDFGQLLFVT
  ^130      ^140      ^150      ^160      ^170      ^180
      f250      f260      f270      f280      f290      f300
YGGAGTNAVGDIFISYSVTLYFPOPTNTLLSTRRLDLAGALVTASGPGYLLVSR---TAT
.:G:..:GDIF:..V.: POPT:..: .DL:G:L:..GP:YL:..:T:..
HSGSDGIETGDI FLDCVEFKGPOPTASIVOKTVIDLGGTLTSFEGPSYLMPPDAFITSS
  ^190      ^200      ^210      ^220      ^230      ^240
      f310      f320      f330      f340      f350
VLTMTFRATGTFVISGTYRCLTATTLGLAG--GVNVNSITVVDNIG-TDSAFFINCTVSN
.:.: :GT:..: C T:.....G :.: :.: :.S F:..V :
SFGLFVDVAGTYLLTLVVTCSTTGSVTVGGNSTLVGDGRAAYGSSNYIASIVFTSSGVLS
  ^250      ^260      ^270      ^280      ^290      ^300
      f360      f370      f380
LPSVVTFT-STGITSATVHCVRATRONDVSL
.:V F: S:G:..: :. R:..N. L
TTPSVQFSGSGVSRVQMNICRCQGNFTIL
  ^310      ^320      ^330

```

25/26

FIG. 12

Beta plot - Chou-Fasman



26/26

FIG. 14(a)

Sequence of RCNMV Coat Protein Spanning The Potential Insertion Site With Introduced Base Changes and New Restriction Sites: (sequence starts at nt 3070)

S I V Q K T V I D L G G T L T S F
 AGCATCGTACAGAAACTGTAATTGATCTCGGTGGGACACTCACTTCTTTC
 ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
 GTGCAC GTTAAC
*Apa*I *Hpa*I

FIG. 14(b)

Series of Sequences to be Inserted Between the Restriction Sites to Insert the MUC1(16) Epitope at Various Locations

G V T S A P D T R P A P G S T A
 GGTGTTACTTCTGCTCCTGATACTAGACCTGCTCCTGGTTCTACTGCT
 CCACAATGAAGACGACCACTATGATCTGGACGAGGACCAAGATGACGA

← ↓ ↓ →

GAAAACTGTA ACGTCTTTTGACAT	ATTGATCTCGGTGGGACGTT TAACTAGAGCCACCCTGCAA
GAAAACTGTAATT ACGTCTTTTGACATTAA	GATCTCGGTGGGACGTT CTAGAGCCACCCTGCAA
GAAAACTGTAATTGAT ACGTCTTTTGACATTAACTA	CTCGGTGGGACGTT GAGCCACCCTGCAA
GAAAACTGTAATTGATCTC ACGTCTTTTGACATTAAGTAGAG	GGTGGGACGTT CCACCCTGCAA
GAAAACTGTAATTGATCTCGGT ACGTCTTTTGACATTAAGTAGAGCCA	GGGACGTT CCCTGCAA
GAAAACTGTAATTGATCTCGGTGGG ACGTCTTTTGACATTAAGTAGAGCCACC	ACGTT TGCAA

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

USA/PCT

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

- (a) My residence and Citizenship are as stated below my name. My P.O. (mailing) address is the same as my residence unless otherwise stated.
 (b) I verily believe I am/we are the original, first and sole/joint inventor(s) of the subject matter that is embraced by and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **CHIMAERIC PLANT VIRUSES WITH MUCIN PEPTIDES**

and the specification of which: ☐ is attached hereto ()
 (check one) ☒ was filed on September 11, 2000 as (60816).
 Application No. PCT/US00/03500
 and was amended on _____


- (c) I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.
 (d) I acknowledge my duty under 37 CFR 1.56 to disclose to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56(b). If this application is a continuation-in-part application, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56(b) that became available between the filing date of the prior application from which priority is claimed in part (f) below, and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.
 (e) I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or §365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below or §365(a) of any PCT international application that designated at least one country other than the United States of America listed below, and also identify below any other foreign equivalent application for patent or inventor's certificate or any other equivalent PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Number	PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S) Country or PCT	Day/Month/Year Filed	PRIORITY CLAIMED	CERTIFIED COPIES INCL.
9921337.3	United Kingdom	September 11, 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Additional claims for benefit are attached.				

- (f) I hereby Claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below, or under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s), or under § 365(c) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America listed below:

US or PCT Appln. Serial No.	Filing Date	Status at Application Filing Date
_____	_____	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Additional claims for benefit are attached.		

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) and/or agent(s) at the following Customer No. to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Addres all correspondence to The Dow Chemical Company's appointed counsel at:



This appointment, including the right to delegate this appointment, shall also apply to the same extent it is applicable under the laws of the United States of America to any proceedings established by the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Inventor(s):

At: Geneva Switzerland
 this 4 day of December, 2000

Signature: Mary Bendig
 Full Name: Mary Bendig
 Residence: 153, route de La Capite
1222 Vesenz
 Country: Switzerland CHX
 Citizenship: United Kingdom
 P. O. Address: Same as Residence

At: _____
 this _____ day of _____, 20____

Signature: _____
 Full Name: Marian Longstaff
 Residence: 61 Vinery Road
Cambridge CB1 3DN
 Country: United Kingdom
 Citizenship: United Kingdom
 P. O. Address: Same as Residence

☐ Additional names and signatures are attached.

At: _____
 this _____ day of _____, 20____

Signature: _____
 Full Name: Tim Jones
 Residence: 27 Brick Row
Babraham, Cambridge CB2 4AJ
 Country: United Kingdom
 Citizenship: United Kingdom
 P. O. Address: Same as Residence

At: _____
 this _____ day of _____, 20____

Signature: _____
 Full Name: Koen Helleendoorn
 Residence: 307 Hethersett Close
Newmarket, Suffolk CB8 7AT
 Country: United Kingdom
 Citizenship: United Kingdom
 P. O. Address: Same as Residence



Netherlands
NLX

WO 01/18199

PCT/GB00/03500

-1-

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> The Dow Chemical Company

5 <120> CHIMAERIC PLANT VIRUSES WITH MUCIN PEPTIDES

<130> P023925WO

10 <140> PCT/GB00/03500

<141> 2000-09-11

<150> GB-9921337.3

<151> 1999-09-09

15 <160> 7

<170> SeqWin99

20 <210> 1

<211> 20

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

25 <220>

<223> MUC1 20mer

<400> 1

Pro Asp Thr Arg Pro Ala Pro Gly Ser Thr Ala Pro Pro Ala His Gly

30 1 5 10 15

Val Thr Ser Ala

20

35 <210> 2

<211> 5

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

40 <220>

<223> MUC1 5mer

<400> 2

45 Pro Asp Thr Arg Pro

1 5

50 <210> 3

<211> 5

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

55 <220>

<223> MUC1 5mer

<400> 3

Ala Pro Asp Thr Arg

1 5

WO 01/18199

PCT/GB00/03500

-2-

5 <210> 4
 <211> 8
 <212> PRT
 <213> Artificial Sequence

10 <220>
 <223> MUC1 8mer

 <400> 4
 Asp Ala His Trp Glu Ser Trp Leu
 1 5

15 <210> 5
 <211> 8
 <212> PRT
 <213> Artificial Sequence

20 <220>
 <223> MUC1 8mer

25 <400> 5
 Asp Leu His Trp Ala Ser Trp Val
 1 5

30 <210> 6
 <211> 16
 <212> PRT
 <213> Artificial Sequence

35 <220>
 <223> MUC1(16)

40 <400> 6
 Gly Val Thr Ser Ala Pro Asp Thr Arg Pro Ala Pro Gly Ser Thr Ala
 1 5 10 15

45 <210> 7
 <211> 23
 <212> PRT
 <213> Artificial Sequence

50 <220>
 <223> MUC1(23)

 <400> 7
 Pro Asp Thr Arg Pro Ala Pro Gly Ser Thr Ala Pro Pro Ala His Gly
 1 5 10 15

55 Val Thr Ser Ala Pro Asp Thr
 20